# Seasonal Forecast Verification User oriented verification

JP. Céron and contribution from S. Mason (IRI) jpceron.wmo@gmail.com



#### WMO OMM

World Meteorological Organization Organisation météorologique mondiale



#### Introduction (1



### **2** Forecast Attributes

**3** ROC & Reliability - Exercise





5

### Why Verification ?

### For Modelers

- Detection of problems and discrepancies
- Validation and evaluation of models,
- Improvement of models
- Comparison of models

### For Users :

- > Better knowledge of model performance over the region of interest
- Better use of the information
- Assessment of contribution of the forecast as additional information to the user's activity
- Assessment of the « value » of the forecasting information



### To answer to which question ?

#### Different aspects for Modelers

- Is the model Good ? Skilful ?
- Is the uncertainty estimate correct ?
- Is the model perform better than another existing model ?

#### Different aspects for Users

- $\succ$  Is the information useful (including for Decision)?
- Is the information bring added value ?
- Has the information some value ?
- > Has the use of the information some impact on the user's activity?



### Score / Skill and Value

#### Score and Skill : 2 different viewpoint (absolute and relative)

ROC Score: EXP(DEMETER II) regarding ERA-40 reanalysis Event: 2m-Temperature Anomaly < -0.43 Standard Deviation Forecast start month and years: November / 1987-1999 FC period: months 2-4 (DJF), ens: 0-62





#### 2m-Temperature

Anomaly Correlation Coefficient: EXP(DEMETER II) regarding ERA-40 reanalysis Forecast start month and years: November / 1987-1999 FC period: months 2-4 (DJF), ens: 0-62



#### August-September-October

### Score / Skill and Value

#### Value : a third vay

#### Cost / Lost model

rkshop

oma



### Cost / Lost model

### 2 categories and economical consideration

- Categories e.g. Dry or Wet or ….
- Cost/Lost ratio C/L (depends on the user)

Event	Obs	Non Obs
Forec.	С	С
Non Forec.	L	0

Event	Obs	Non Obs
Forec.	а	b
Non Forec.	С	d

f = frequency of the forecasted event
C1= mean cost using the climatology forecast
C2 = mean cost using a perfect forecast
C3= mea, cost using the real forecast





### Value of a probabilistic forecast

#### For a deterministic forecast

- If the event of interest for the user is forecasted one can take an action (prepardness, prevention, ...),
- > If the forecast is No Occurrence of the event, one can do Nothing !

#### For a probabilistic forecast :

- How to decide whan the forecast is provided as probabilities for the different categories
- One must convert the probabilities in term of Action or No Action
- Choice of a probabilistic threshold Pa
  - When p > Pa take decision of action
  - When p < Pa take decision of No Action</p>



# How to choose the probablistic threshold ? With the Cost/Lost model

Event	Obs	Non Obs
Forec.	С	С
Non Forec.	L	0

Event	Obs	Non Obs
Forec.	а	b
Non Forec.	С	d

Assuming that the probability is p

- Cost of Permanent action :
- Cost of No Action

- $E_A(cu) = C$   $E_N(cu) = pL$ ion if C/L < p
- Best solution for users ; decide Action if C/L<p</p>

The decisional threshold Pa depends on the user

WMO OMM

### Value for different users





### Value for different users

Value of COA Northern hemisphere DJF; T850 anomaly (deg) 0.4 -- < -1.0**---** < − 0. ->+0. 0.3 > + 1.0 Value 0.2 0.1 0.0 4 0.5 0 Cost/Loss 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0

MO OMM



### Value of a probabilistic rainfall forecast



### Linkage Score and Value for different users

#### 2 categories Dry /Wet Cost/Lost ratio 0.5

Winter rainfall



Summer rainfall



### Linkage between Score and Value

Introducing the Hit Rate (H), the False Alarm rate (F) and the Cost/Lost ratio (C/L) :

$$V = (1 - F) - \left(\frac{1 - C/L}{C/L}\right) \left(\frac{f}{1 - f}\right) (1 - H) \text{ si } C/L < f$$

$$V = H - \left(\frac{C/L}{1 - C/L}\right) \left(\frac{1 - f}{f}\right) F \text{ si } C/L > f$$

The value depends on the Quality of the forecasts (H and F)

> The Value depends of the economical model of the user (C/L)

The value depends on the observed frequency f of the event



#### Multimodel issues

Variable: 850 hPa Temperature Area: Northern Extratropics Model: DEMETER II ECMWF UKMO CNRM MPI LODYC CERFACENGV Forecast start month and years: Nov / 1987-1999 Average over FC period: 2-4 months (DJF)



![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Use of other economical models

 Exmple of Insurance domain : Benefit/Lost mode and open marketl

Forecast / Obs	E_	E <sub>o</sub>	E <sub>+</sub>	
E_	а	b	с	0 <u>.</u>
$E_{\theta}$	d	e	f	O <sub>0</sub>
E <sub>+</sub>	g	h	i	0,
	Pr_	Pr <sub>o</sub>	Pr₊	

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Use of other economical models

 Exmple of Insurance domain : Benefit/Lost mode and open marketl

Forecast / Obs	E_	<b>E</b> <sub>o</sub>	E,	
E_	G_	-G_	<b>G</b> <sub>+</sub> - <b>G</b> <sub>-</sub>	0 <u>.</u>
E <sub>0</sub>	G_	0	G,	O <sub>0</sub>
E <sub>+</sub>	<b>GG</b> +	-G <sub>+</sub>	G,	0,
	Pr_	Pr <sub>o</sub>	Pr <sub>+</sub>	

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Use of other economical models

 Exmple of Insurance domain : Benefit/Lost mode and open marketl

Forecast / Obs	E_	E <sub>o</sub>	E,	
E_	0	L-	L_	0_
E <sub>0</sub>	0	0	0	O <sub>0</sub>
E <sub>+</sub>	$\mathbf{L}_{+}$	$\mathbf{L}_{+}$	0	<b>O</b> <sub>+</sub>
	Pr_	Pr <sub>o</sub>	Pr <sub>+</sub>	

![](_page_17_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Use of other economical models

 Exmple of Insurance domain : Benefit/Lost mode and open marketl

Forecast / Obs	E_	<b>E</b> <sub>o</sub>	E,	
E_	G_	-G <sub>.</sub> -L <sub>.</sub>	<b>G</b> <sub>+</sub> - <b>G</b> <sub>-</sub> - <b>L</b> <sub>+</sub>	0_
E <sub>0</sub>	G	0	G <sub>+</sub>	O <sub>0</sub>
E <sub>+</sub>	$\mathbf{G}_{-}\mathbf{G}_{+}\mathbf{L}_{+}$	-G <sub>+</sub> -L <sub>+</sub>	G₊	O,
	Pr_	Pr <sub>o</sub>	Pr <sub>+</sub>	

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Use of other economical models

 Exmple of Insurance domain : Benefit/Lost mode and open marketl

Forecast / Obs	E_	E <sub>o</sub>	E,	
E_	G	-G-L	-L	0 <u>.</u>
E <sub>0</sub>	G	0	G	O <sub>0</sub>
E <sub>+</sub>	-L	-G-L	G	0,
	Pr_	Pr <sub>o</sub>	Pr <sub>+</sub>	

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Use of other economical models

 Exmple of Insurance domain : Benefit/Lost mode and open marketl

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Use of other economical models

 Exmple of Insurance domain : Benefit/Lost mode and dedicated market (E+)

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Use of other economical models
  - Indices associated to the insurance domain

$$V_{SPS} = \frac{1}{N} [(a+d+i+f-b-h)-(b+c+g+h)R] \qquad R = \frac{Lost}{Gain}$$

$$V_{Cli} = \frac{2}{9}(1-2R) \qquad V_{Max} = \frac{2}{3} \qquad V_{SPS} = \frac{V_{SPS}-V_{Cli}}{V_{MAX}-V_{Cli}}$$

$$V_{SPS-} = \frac{1}{N} [(i+f+c-g-h)-cR] \qquad V_{SPS+} = \frac{1}{N} [(a+d+g-b-c)-gR]$$

$$V_{Cli+} = V_{Cli-} = \frac{1}{9}(1-R) \qquad V_{Max+} = V_{Max-} = \frac{1}{3}$$

WMO OMM

### How do we know that a forecast is « good » ?

# In case of Impact Forecast (tailored e.g. for DMP) Verification ?

- >Depends on the usefulness for the user
- Needs of reference dataset from the user side (Impacts, Decisions, …)
- Verification of the use and better decision still to be developped (e.g. Placebo protocol). The problem is more complex !

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Evaluation of Impact Forecasts

- Evaluation of the impact probabilistic forecast
  - ➢ Reference data (impact variables, …)
  - Reference strategy (climatology, random atmospheric forcing, ...)
- Evaluation of the impact of the use of the information
  - Demonstration of the impact of the use of the information onto the DMP : based on Placebo concept
    - Extension of the Placebo concept to the evaluation of the quality of the decisions made using DMPs : provision of 2 set of forecasts
    - ✓ Set 1 : impact forecast using Atmospheric Seasonal Forecast forcing
    - Set 2 : impact forecast using Random Atmospheric Forcing ("Placebo" like set of climate information) presented in the same fashion than the one used for impact seasonal forecast
  - Stakeholders "replaying" (if possible) 30 years of decisions (blind method),
  - Issuing a comprehensive analysis of the Decision made,
    - Set 1, Set 2 and Past decisions
    - Note the need to define what is a "good" decision, a "bad" decision and likely an

WMO OMMacceptable" decision

#### ROC scores for Hydro-SF (1979-2007 – IC from 1st of April)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Upper Tercile** 

#### Lower Tercile Skills can significantly better for River Flow and SWI than for Temperature and Rainfall

(Ref : Singla et al. 2012)

![](_page_25_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Some examples

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Key Stations used by the SMEAG

Good forecast for DMP ! 8 Q90 Daily river flows 8 Me 8 tima Seine @ Paris 1980 Good forecast for DMP ! 8 Daily river flows 8 Obs. 8 8 8 ┿**┼**<sub>╋╋</sub>╋╋</sub>╋╋╋╋╋╋╋╋ vigilance time

Forecast - Daily Time Series of ensemble Median, Q10 and Q90

Pre-COF Training Workshop 15-18/11/2016 - Roma

Seine @ Pont-sur-Seine 1992

![](_page_26_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Use of the Seasonal Forecasting Information**

### Additional value brought by the system,

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### 13. Benefits obtained with a basic use of the forecasts :

The simulations were made for different values of Hf, with different hypothesis concerning the natural discharge of September-October : 1- exactly known (theoritical); 2- unknown; 3 - forecasted with the ARPEGE results.

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Use of ROC curve**

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

TSOL METEO-FRANCE ROC CURVES JAN LEAD=1 NAT

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Butterfly Effect ...

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### WMO OMM

World Meteorological Organization Organisation météorologique mondiale

- Reliability depends on the year,
- Relaibility depends on the region and the parameter,
- Quality (scientific view) different than Usefulness (user view - economical value, added value for Decision Making),
- Useful in a decision making context and for climate risk management ; especially for activities (including economic) which are sensitive to climate when the range of the forecast is consistent with the decision calendar of the stakeholder.

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **Use of Seasonal Forecasts**

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Use of Seasonal Forecasts**

 Correlation for SWI and River Flows over the 1979-2007 period (HYDRO-SF / ARPEGE-S3) for different IC for the summer forecast (JJA)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Impact Forecasting suites**

### An example : from forecasting information to DMP

#### The Manantali dam management

<u>Atmospheric Forecast:</u> beginning of August rain for SON

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

Schematic representation of the water management system

![](_page_33_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_8.jpeg)

**Post-processing/Dissemination :** downscaling and tailoring the climate information in impact variable (river flow at a specific station).

![](_page_33_Figure_10.jpeg)

**Decision Making Process** : Choice of the best strategy with respect of concurrent use of water and the characteristics of the climate (dry season occuring end of October and forecast of the end of the rainy season)

![](_page_33_Figure_12.jpeg)

#### Tailored Information

River Flow forecast related to the DMP and management rules

Transformation of the impact forecast in risk assessment

Model simulation of water stock evolution into the dam

- Critical threshold into the DMP
- Critical date for Decision